UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,972	07/14/2005	Stephane Oberli	37253	8739
116 PEARNE & GO	7590 06/22/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9TH STREET			WATSON, ROBERT C	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3723	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,972	OBERLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Robert C. Watson	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of THIS COMMUNION (1) of 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (ill, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>07 <i>June 2007</i></u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL 2b	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	v.			
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority d 	ocuments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in A	Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies o application from the Internation 	•	received in this National Sta	age			
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/05.		nformal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/516,972

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaer.

Schaer at Figure 2 shows a pig having radially elastic tubular parts 6 and a support part 7,9. The support part 7 is made of rubber and is capable of deformation to some extent since deformation is a relative term. The pig has an element 5 which is made of rubber and may properly be called a seal because the disclosure states that it creates a vacuum with the walls of the pipe.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaer in view of Jonnes.

Jonnes teaches that the support part may have teeth 16 extending radially.

To provide on the support part of Schaer teeth extending radially would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Jonnes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to reduce friction.

Claims 3-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaer in view of Crane.

Whether component contstruction or integral construction is used is no more than an obvious matter of choice as these are both well know and obvious construction expedients. For example Crane shows that various parts comprised of elements 10,10r,10f may be integrally constructed. To apply this broad teaching of integral construction anywhere desired in Schaer would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Crane. In Figure 2 of Schaer the orifices 12 may be termed a pressure reducer in communication with an internal cavity 10 and the external space between the two seals 5. Since the seal is disclosed as creating a vacuum with the walls of the pipe the seal may be termed a high pressure lip seal. The front guidance element 7,10 is conical and has a receptacle Such a receptacle, like all receptacles, capable of housing any number of structures including a cable ferrule.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaer in view of Crane supra and further in view of Constant et al.

Constant et al teaches that the front and rear parts of the pig may have conical guiding fingers. To provide conical guiding fingers on the front and rear parts of the Schaer in view of Crane pig supra would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Constant et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to better guide the

Application/Control Number: 10/516,972 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

pig through the pipe. In Schaer tubular part has an open front at 10 and a partially closed radial wall at 11.

Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/10/07.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

rcw